## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO.
	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	sel report that they have met pulation pursuant to Civil L.	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties a	gree to participate in the foll	owing ADR process:
□ □ (Note: Partie appreciably i ADR phone ( ADR Phone (	nore likely to meet their nee conference and may not file	ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is ds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
The parties a	referring the case to an Al	n by: The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order DR process unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated:		Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated:		Attorney for Defendant

Case 3:08-cv-01065-PJH Document 42 Filed 05/15/2008 Page 2 of 2

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pu	rsuant to the Stipulation above, the caption	oned matter is hereby referred	to:
	Non-binding Arbitration		
	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)		
	Mediation		
	Private ADR		
De	adline for ADR session		
	90 days from the date of this order.		
	other		
IT IS SO	ORDERED.		
Dated:			
		UNITED STATES	JUDGE